arXiv:1411.4224v1 [math.AP] 16 Nov 2014

A LIOUVILLE THEOREM FOR p-HARMONIC FUNCTIONS ON EXTERIOR DOMAINS

E. N. DANCER, DANIEL DANERS, AND DANIEL HAUER

ABSTRACT. We prove Liouville type theorems for p-harmonic functions on exterior domains of $\mathbb{R}^d$, where $1 < p < \infty$ and $d \geq 2$. We show that every positive p-harmonic function satisfying zero Dirichlet, Neumann or Robin boundary conditions and having zero limit as $|x|$ tends to infinity is identically zero. In the case of zero Neumann boundary conditions, we establish that any semi-bounded p-harmonic function is constant if $1 < p < d$. If $p \geq d$, then it is either constant or it behaves asymptotically like the fundamental solution of the homogeneous p-Laplace equation.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Assume that Ω is a general *exterior domain* of $\mathbb{R}^d$, that is, a connected open set such that $\Omega^c = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega$ is compact and nonempty. We assume that the boundary $\partial\Omega$ is the disjoint union of the sets Γ_1, Γ_2, where Γ_1 is closed. We denote by ν the outward pointing unit normal vector on $\partial\Omega$ and $\mathcal{H}$ the $(d-1)$-dimensional Hausdorff measure on $\partial\Omega$. For $1 < p < \infty$ define the p-Laplace operator Δ_p by $\Delta_p v := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v)$.

The aim of this paper is to establish a Liouville theorem for weak solutions of the elliptic boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p v &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathcal{B}v &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}v := \begin{cases} v|_{\Gamma_1} & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \text{ (Dirichlet b.c.)}, \\ |\nabla v|^{p-2}\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} + h(x,v) & \text{on } \Gamma_2 \text{ (Robin/Neumann b.c.)}. \end{cases}$$

Here we assume that $h\colon \Gamma_2 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function (see [23]) satisfying

$$h(\cdot, v) \in L^{p/(p-1)}(\Gamma_2) \quad \text{and} \quad h(x,v)v \geq 0 \text{ for } \mathcal{H}\text{-a.e. } x \in \Gamma_2, \tag{1.2}$$

for every $v \in L^p(\Gamma_2)$. Note that the first condition in (1.2) implicitly implies a growth condition on the function $v \mapsto h(\cdot, v)$; see [17]. As usual, a function $v \in W^{1,p}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega) \cap C(\Omega)$ is said to be *p-harmonic* (or simply *harmonic* if $p = 2$) on Ω if $\Delta_p v = 0$ in Ω in the weak sense, that is,

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v \nabla \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x = 0$$

Date: 16. November 2014 (revised Version).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B53, 35J92, 35B40.

Key words and phrases. elliptic boundary-value problems, Liouville-type theorems, p-Laplace operator, p-harmonic functions, exterior domain.

for every $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$; see [20]. Throughout, we call a p-harmonic function v *positive* if $v \geq 0$.

The classical Liouville theorem asserts that every harmonic function on the whole space $\mathbb{R}^d$ is constant if it is bounded from below or from above; see for instance [3, Theorem 3.1] or [19, p. 111]. The classical Liouville theorem was generalised to p-harmonic functions on the whole space $\mathbb{R}^d$ for $1 < p < \infty$; see [22, Theorem II] or [15, Corollary 6.11]. The result extends to d-harmonic function on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ for $d \geq 2$; see [3, Corollary 3.3] for $p = d = 2$ or [16, Corollary 2.2] for $p = d \geq 2$. In our investigation, the *fundamental solution*

$$\mu_p(x) := \begin{cases} |x|^{(p-d)/(p-1)} & \text{if } p \neq d, \\ \log|x| & \text{if } p = d. \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ plays an important role. If $1 < p < d$, then μ_p provides an example of a non-constant p-harmonic function bounded from below. Another example valid for $1 < p < d$ is given by $v(x) := 1 - \mu_p(x)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_1$, where B_1 denotes the open unit ball. In this case v is a positive p-harmonic function on the exterior domain $\Omega := \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{B}_1$ satisfying zero Dirichlet boundary conditions at $\partial\Omega$. Hence, in order to have a chance of proving a Liouville type theorem for exterior domains we need to make use of the boundary conditions and the behavior of a p-harmonic function near infinity.

First, we consider the case $1 < p < d$. Then by [21, Corollary, p.84] or [2, Theorem 2 & Theorem 3] and by rescaling if necessary, we know that for every positive p-harmonic function v on an exterior domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, the limit

$$b := \lim_{|x|\to\infty} v(x) \quad \text{exists and} \quad |v(x) - b| \leq c_1\, \mu_p(x) \text{ whenever } |x| \geq 2 \tag{1.4}$$

where $c_1 > 0$. With this in mind, our first result is a kind of maximum principle for weak solution of (1.1) on an unbounded domain. A precise definition of weak solutions of (1.1) is given in Definition 3.5 below.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Ω be an exterior domain with Lipschitz boundary and let $1 < p < \infty$. Suppose that (1.2) is satisfied and that v is a positive weak solution of (1.1) such that $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} v(x) = 0$. Then $v \equiv 0$.*

If $p \geq d$, the conclusion of the theorem is valid without any restrictions on the boundary conditions or regularity of Ω due to a result in [12]; see Section 4. If $1 < p < d$, then under some additional assumptions on v we can remove the assumption that $\partial\Omega$ is Lipschitz. The condition is that v has a trace in some weak sense which is in $L^p(\Gamma_2)$. Such a condition is satisfied in the setting discussed in [6, 1, 10, 11].

The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on the asymptotic decay estimates for positive p-harmonic functions on exterior domains as stated in (1.4). We give a simple alternative proof of such estimates in case $p = 2$ and $d \geq 3$ in Section 2.

If $p \geq d$, then there are two alternatives for a positive p-harmonic function v: Either v is bounded in a neighbourhood of infinity and has a limit

as $|x| \to \infty$, or $v \sim \mu_p$ near infinity, that is,

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} \frac{v(x)}{\mu_p(x)} = c$$

for some constant $c > 0$; see [12, Theorem 2.3]. In the first case, if $v > 0$, then the limit is strictly positive; see [12, Lemma A.2]. See also the related work in [13]. As an example let $\Omega := \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{B}_1$ and set $v_p := \mu_p + 1$ if $p = d$ and $v_p := \mu_p$ if $p > d$. Then v_p is a positive p-harmonic function on Ω satisfying zero Robin boundary conditions

$$|\nabla v|^{p-2}\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} + |v|^{p-2}v = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Similarly, $w_p := \mu_p$ if $p = d$ and $w_p := \mu_p - 1$ if $p > d$ satisfies zero Dirichlet boundary conditions on $\partial\Omega$ and is a positive unbounded p-harmonic function on Ω.

Our second main result is a Liouville theorem for p-harmonic functions on exterior domains with zero Neumann boundary conditions, that is, the case $\Gamma_2 = \partial\Omega$ and $h \equiv 0$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let Ω be an exterior domain with no regularity assumption on $\partial\Omega$. Suppose that v is a weak solution of (1.1) on Ω that is bounded from below or from above. Moreover, assume that v satisfies homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions, that is, $h(x, v) \equiv 0$ and $\Gamma_2 = \partial\Omega$. If $1 < p < d$, then v is constant. If $p \geq d$, then v is either constant or $v \sim \pm\mu_p$ near infinity.*

The proofs of the theorems are based on a general criterion for Liouville type theorems established in Section 3. We fully prove the two Theorems in Section 4.

There is an intimate relationship between Liouville-type theorems and pointwise a priori estimates of solutions of boundary value problems. On the one hand, Liouville's theorem for some semi-linear equations on $\mathbb{R}^d$ can be seen as a corollary of pointwise a priori estimates; see [8, Lemma 1]. On the other hand, Liouville's theorem can be used to derive universal upper bounds for positive solutions on bounded domains. These connections were outlined in [22, p.82] and recently revisited in [18]. More precisely, it is shown in [18, p.556] that Liouville's theorem and universal boundedness theorems are equivalent for semi-linear equations and systems of Lane-Emden type; see also [16]. This relationship becomes again apparent in this paper. This article was motivated by application to domain perturbation problems for semi-linear elliptic boundary value problems on domains with shrinking holes; see [9].

Acknowledgement. We thank the referees for the careful reading and pointing out some mistakes in an earlier version of the manuskript. Also we thank for the suggestion to state Lemma 3.2 explicitely.

2. Estimates near infinity in the linear case

In this section we establish pointwise decay estimates for semi-bounded harmonic functions on an exterior domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ when $d \geq 3$. The result is a special case of estimates proved in [2], but it seems appropriate to provide a much shorter proof in the linear case.

Proposition 2.1. *Let v be harmonic on the exterior domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 3$. Further assume that v is bounded from below or from above. Then $b := \lim_{|x|\to\infty} v(x)$ exists. Moreover, there exist positive constants r_0 and C_1 such that*

$$|v(x) - b| \leq C_1 \, |x|^{2-d} \tag{2.1}$$

for all $|x| \geq r_0$.

To prove the proposition let v be a harmonic function on the exterior domain Ω, and suppose that v is bounded form below or from above. Since by assumption $\overline{\Omega}^c$ is bounded, by translating and rescaling if necessary, we can assume without loss of generality that $\overline{\Omega}^c$ is contained in the unit ball B_1, and that $0 \in \overline{\Omega}^c$. Furthermore, without loss of generality we can consider non-negative harmonic functions on Ω. Indeed, if v is bounded from below we consider $v + \inf v \geq 0$, and if v is bounded from above we consider $-v + \sup v \geq 0$.

If v is positive and harmonic on Ω, then in particular v is positive and harmonic on $\overline{B}_1^c$. Hence, the Kelvin transform $K[v]$ of v given by $K[v](x) := |x|^{2-d} v(x/|x|^2)$ for $x \in B_1 \setminus \{0\}$ is positive and harmonic on $B_1 \setminus \{0\}$; see [3, Theorem 4.7]. By Bôcher's theorem there exist a harmonic function w on B_1 and a constant $b \geq 0$ such that

$$K[v](x) = w(x) + b \, |x|^{2-d} \qquad \text{or} \qquad K[v-b](x) = w(x)$$

for every $x \in B_1 \setminus \{0\}$ see [3, Theorem 3.9]. Applying the Kelvin transform again yields

$$v(x) - b = K[w](x) = |x|^{2-d} \, w(x/|x|^2) \tag{2.2}$$

for every $x \in \overline{B}_1^c$. Note that $w(x/|x|^2) \to w(0)$ as $|x| \to \infty$. Hence (2.2) implies the existence of constants $C_1, r_0 > 0$ such that (2.1) holds whenever $|x| > r_0$.

3. A general criterion for Liouville type theorems

The proofs of the main theorems are based on a general criterion showing that a function satisfying suitable integral conditions is constant. We generalise an idea from [5, Lemma 2.1]. Similar ideas were used for instance in [4, 22] or in [7, Theorem 19.8] for $p = 2$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$, $\varphi \equiv 1$ on $\overline{B}(0,1)$ and with support contained in $\overline{B}(0,2)$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r > 0$ let $\varphi_r(x) := \varphi(x/r)$. Let $v \in W^{1,p}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$ and suppose that there exist constants $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $C_0, C_1, r_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega \cap B_{2r}} |\nabla v|^p \, \varphi_r^p \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \frac{C_0}{r} \left(\int_{(\Omega \cap B_{2r}) \setminus B_r} |\nabla v|^p \, \varphi_r^p \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left(\int_{(\Omega \cap B_{2r}) \setminus B_r} |v-b|^p \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/p} \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{r^p} \int_{(\Omega \cap B_{2r}) \setminus B_r} |v-b|^p \, \mathrm{d}x \leq C_1 \tag{3.2}$$

for all $r > r_0$. Then v is constant.

The above proposition is a direct consequence of the following stronger result. To prove Proposition 3.1, we set $C := C_0 C_1^{1/p}$ and $\delta = (p-1)/p$ in the lemma below. Then inequality (3.3) follows from (3.1) and (3.2).

Lemma 3.2. *Let φ and φ_r be as in Proposition 3.1. Let $v \in W^{1,p}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$ and suppose that there exist constants $C, r_0 > 0$ and $\delta \in (0,1)$ such that*

$$\int_{\Omega \cap B_{2r}} |\nabla v|^p \, \varphi_r^p \, \mathrm{d}x \leq C \left(\int_{(\Omega \cap B_{2r}) \setminus B_r} |\nabla v|^p \, \varphi_r^p \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\delta} \tag{3.3}$$

for all $r > r_0$. Then v is constant.

Proof. In a first step we show that $\nabla v \in L^p(\Omega)^d$. In a second step we then prove that $\nabla v \in L^p(\Omega)^d$ and (3.3) imply that $\nabla v = 0$. As Ω is assumed to be connected we can apply [14, Lemma 7.7] to conclude that v is constant on Ω.

(i) We first show that $\nabla v \in L^p(\Omega)^d$. If $\nabla v = 0$, then there is nothing to show, so assume that $\nabla v \neq 0$. By possibly increasing r_0 we can assume that

$$\int_{\Omega \cap B_{2r}} |\nabla v|^p \varphi_r^p \, \mathrm{d}r > 0$$

for all $r > r_0$. Rearranging inequality (3.3) and using that $\delta < 1$ yields

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p \varphi_r^p \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\Omega \cap B_{2r}} |\nabla v|^p \varphi_r^p \, \mathrm{d}x \leq C^{1/(1-\delta)}$$

for all $r > r_0$. Note that $\varphi_r^p \to 1_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ pointwise and monotonically increasing as $r \to \infty$. Hence, the monotone convergence theorem implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p \, \mathrm{d}x = \lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{\Omega \cap B_{2r}} |\nabla v|^p \varphi_r^p \, \mathrm{d}x \leq C^{1/(1-\delta)} < \infty. \tag{3.4}$$

In particular $\nabla v \in L^p(\Omega)^d$ as claimed.

(ii) Assuming that $\nabla v \in L^p(\Omega)^d$ we now show that $\nabla v = 0$. We can rewrite (3.3) in the form

$$\int_{\Omega \cap B_{2r}} |\nabla v|^p \, \varphi_r^p \, \mathrm{d}x \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega \cap B_r} |\nabla v|^p \, \varphi_r^p \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\delta}.$$

Letting $r \to \infty$, making use of (3.4) and the fact that $\delta > 0$, we deduce that $\|\nabla v\|_p \leq 0$, that is, $\|\nabla v\|_p = 0$. □

Remark 3.3. Suppose that $v \in W^{1,p}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$ satisfies inequality (3.1), and that there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that $v \in L^\infty(\Omega \cap B^c_{r_0})$. Then, for every $b \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\frac{1}{r^p} \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} |v - b|^p \, \mathrm{d}x \leq \frac{\|v-b\|_\infty^p}{r^p} \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} 1 \, \mathrm{d}x \leq \frac{\omega_d}{d} (2^d - 1) \|v - b\|_\infty^p r^{d-p}$$

for all $r \geq r_0$, where $\|v-b\|_\infty := \|v-b\|_{L^\infty(B^c_{r_0})}$ and ω_d is the surface area of the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^d$. If $p \geq d$, then Proposition 3.1 implies that v is constant.

We next show that weak solutions of (1.1) satisfy (3.1). Before we can do that we want to state our precise assumptions and give a definition of weak solutions of boundary-value problem (1.1).

Assumption 3.4. By assumption, an exterior domain Ω as defined in the introduction is an open connected set such that Ω^c is compact. In particular $\partial\Omega$ is compact. Thus there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that $\partial\Omega \subseteq B_r := B(0,r)$ for all $r \geq r_0$. We consider solutions of (1.1) that lie in

$$V^{1,p}(\Omega) := \Big\{ v \in W^{1,p}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega) \colon v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega \cap B_r) \text{ for all } r > r_0 \Big\}.$$

For simplicity we now assume that $\partial\Omega$ is Lipschitz. We assume that Γ_1, Γ_2 are disjoint subsets of $\partial\Omega$ such that Γ_1 is closed and $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 = \partial\Omega$. We let $V^{1,p}_{\Gamma_1}(\Omega)$ be the closure of the vector space

$$\Big\{ v \in V^{1,p}(\Omega) \colon v = 0 \text{ in a neighbourhood of } \Gamma_1 \Big\}$$

in $V^{1,p}(\Omega)$. If $h(x,v) \equiv 0$ no regularity assumption on $\partial\Omega$ is needed.

We use the space $V^{1,p}(\Omega)$ because we do not want to assume that the solutions of (1.1) are in $L^p(\Omega)$.

Definition 3.5. We say that a function v is a *weak solution* of the boundary value problem (1.1) on Ω if $v \in V^{1,p}_{\Gamma_1}(\Omega)$ and

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \nabla \varphi \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma_2} h(x,v)\, \varphi \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H} = 0 \tag{3.5}$$

for every $\varphi \in V^{1,p}_{\Gamma_1}(\Omega)$ with $\mathrm{supp}(\varphi) \subseteq B_r$.

The above definitions have to be modified in an obvious manner for non-smooth domains. In particular, when using the setting from [1, 10, 11] we require that v is in the Maz'ya space $W^1_{p,p}(\Omega \cap B_r, \partial\Omega)$ for all r large enough.

If Ω admits the divergence theorem and the solution v is smooth enough, then an integration by parts shows that v is a weak solution of (1.1) if and only if v satisfies (1.1) in a classical sense. We next show that positive solutions of (1.1) satisfy (3.1).

Proposition 3.6. *Let Assumption 3.4 be satisfied and let φ_r be as in Proposition 3.1, and $r_0 > 0$ such that $\Omega^c \subseteq B_{r_0}$. Suppose that (1.2) is satisfied and that v is a weak solution of (1.1). Then, inequality (3.1) holds with $b = 0$. In the case of homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions, that is, if $h(x,v) \equiv 0$ and $\Gamma_2 = \partial\Omega$, then every weak solution of (1.1) satisfies (3.1) for every $b \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Let $r \geq r_0$ and let φ_r be the same test-function as in Proposition 3.1. Then $v\varphi_r^p \in W^{1,p}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$ with support in B_{2r}. Moreover, by definition of φ_r we have $v\varphi^p = v$ on $\Omega \cap B_r$. Hence $v\varphi^p$ is a suitable test function to be used in

(3.5). Using that v is a weak solution of (1.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \int_{\Omega\cap B_{2r}} |\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v\nabla(v\varphi_r^p)\,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma_2} h(x,v)\,v\,\varphi_r^p\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}\\ &= \int_{\Omega\cap B_{2r}} |\nabla v|^p\,\varphi_r^p\,\mathrm{d}x + \frac{p}{r}\int_{(\Omega\cap B_{2r})\setminus B_r} v\varphi_r^{p-1}|\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v\nabla\varphi(\cdot/r)\,\mathrm{d}x\\ &\quad + \int_{\Gamma_2} h(x,v)\,v\,\varphi_r^p\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}.\end{aligned}$$

Rearranging this equation we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\Omega\cap B_{2r}} |\nabla v|^p\,\varphi_r^p\,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma_2} h(x,v)\,v\,\varphi_r^p\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}\\ &\qquad = -\frac{p}{r}\int_{(\Omega\cap B_{2r})\setminus B_r} v\varphi_r^{p-1}|\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v\nabla\varphi(\cdot/r)\,\mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned}$$

By assumption (1.2) we have $h(x,v)v \geq 0$. Setting $C_0 := p\,\|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^\infty(B_2)}$ and applying Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\Omega\cap B_{2r}} |\nabla v|^p\,\varphi_r^p\,\mathrm{d}x\\ &\qquad \leq \frac{C_0}{r}\left(\int_{(\Omega\cap B_{2r})\setminus B_r} |\nabla v|^p\,\varphi_r^p\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{(p-1)/p}\left(\int_{(\Omega\cap B_{2r})\setminus B_r} |v|^p\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/p},\end{aligned}$$

which is (3.1) with $b = 0$. In the case of homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions, for every $b \in \mathbb{R}$, the function $v - b$ is another weak solution of (1.1). Hence we can replace v by $v - b$ in the above calculations to obtain (3.1). □

Remark 3.7. Note that the above proof only uses that

$$0 \leq \int_{\Gamma_2} h(x,v)v\varphi_r^p\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H} = \int_{\Gamma_2} h(x,v)v\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H} < \infty.$$

4. Proofs of the main theorems

This section is dedicated to the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. By rescaling, we can assume without loss of generality that $\Omega^c \subseteq B_1$ and that v is p-harmonic on $\overline{B}_1^c$.

4.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Assume that $1 < p < d$, and that v is a positive weak solution of (1.1) satisfying $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} v(x) = 0$. We need to show that $v \equiv 0$. Due to Propositions 3.1 and 3.6 we only need to show that there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that v satisfies (3.2) with $b = 0$ for all $r \geq r_0$. By (1.4) or Proposition 2.1 if $p = 2$, there are constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$0 \leq v(x) \leq c_1\,|x|^{(p-d)/(p-1)}$$

for every $x \in \overline{B_2^c}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{r^p}\int_{B_{2r}\setminus B_r} |v|^p\,\mathrm{d}x &\leq \frac{c_1^p}{r^p}\int_{B_{2r}\setminus B_r} |x|^{p\,(p-d)/(p-1)}\,\mathrm{d}x\\ &= c_1^p\frac{\omega_d}{r^p}\int_r^{2r} s^{p\,(p-d)/(p-1)}s^{d-1}\,\mathrm{d}s = c_1^p\omega_d\,c_2\,r^{(p-d)/(p-1)}\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

for all $r \geq r_0 := 2$, where ω_d is the surface area of the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $c_2 = \ln 2$ if $d = p^2$ and $c_2 = \frac{p-1}{d-p^2}(2^{(p^2-d)/(p-1)} - 1)$ if $d \neq p^2$. As $p < d$ we conclude that v satisfies (3.2) with $b = 0$ for every $r \geq 2$. As $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} v(x) = 0$ we conclude that $v \equiv 0$.

If $p \geq d$, then every non-trivial positive bounded solution of (1.1) has a strictly positive limit as $|x| \to \infty$; see [12, Lemma A.2]. Because we assume that the limit is zero, we must have $v \equiv 0$. Observe that these arguments do not make use of the boundary conditions. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Let v be a semi-bounded weak solution of problem (1.1) with homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions, that is, $\Gamma_2 = \partial\Omega$ and $h(x, v) \equiv 0$. Recall also that no regularity assumptions on $\partial\Omega$ are needed.

Note that the p-Laplace operator Δ_p is an *odd* operator, that is, $\Delta_p(-v) = -\Delta_p v$. Hence, for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$, the function $c \pm v$ is another solution of problem (1.1). If v is bounded from below we can therefore replace v by $v - \inf_{x\in\Omega} v(x)$, and if v is bounded from above we can replace v by $\sup_{x\in\Omega} v(x) - v$. In either case we get a new solution $v \geq 0$ with $\inf_{x\in\Omega} v(x) = 0$. As before, we also assume that $\Omega^c \subseteq B_1$.

If $1 < p < d$, then by (1.4) the finite limit $b := \lim_{|x|\to\infty} v(x)$ exists. By Proposition 3.6 inequality (3.1) is satisfied. To show that v satisfies (3.2) with b just defined we repeat the calculation (4.1) with v replaced by $v - b$, using the decay estimate from (1.4). We can now apply Proposition 3.1 to conclude that v is constant.

It remains to deal with the case $p \geq d$. Recall that by [12, Theorem 2.3], every positive p-harmonic function v on Ω is either bounded in a neighbourhood of infinity and has a limit $b := \lim_{|x|\to\infty} v(x)$ or $v \sim \mu_p$ near infinity. In the second case the original solution considered is asymptotically equivalent to $\pm\mu_p$ near infinity. Assume now that v has a limit as $|x| \to \infty$. To show that v is constant we first note that by Proposition 3.6, v satisfies (3.1) with $b = 0$. As v is bounded in a neighbourhood of infinity and since $p \geq d$, Remark 3.3 implies that v satisfies (3.2). Hence by Proposition 3.1, v is constant. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

REFERENCES

[1] W. Arendt and M. Warma, *The Laplacian with Robin boundary conditions on arbitrary domains*, Potential Anal. **19** (2003), 341–363. doi:10.1023/A:1024181608863

[2] A. I. Ávila and F. Brock, *Asymptotics at infinity of solutions for p-Laplace equations in exterior domains*, Nonlinear Anal. **69** (2008), 1615–1628. doi:10.1016/j.na.2007.07.003

[3] S. Axler, P. Bourdon, and W. Ramey, *Harmonic function theory*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 137, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001. doi:10.1007/978-1-4757-8137-3

[4] M.-F. Bidaut-Véron and S. Pohozaev, *Nonexistence results and estimates for some nonlinear elliptic problems*, J. Anal. Math. **84** (2001), 1–49. doi:10.1007/BF02788105

[5] H. Brezis, M. Chipot, and Y. Xie, *Some remarks on Liouville type theorems*, Recent advances in nonlinear analysis, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2008, pp. 43–65. doi:10.1142/9789812709257_0003

[6] R. Chill, D. Hauer, and J. Kennedy, *Nonlinear semigroups generated by j-elliptic functionals*, Preprint, 2014.

[7] M. Chipot, *Elliptic equations: an introductory course*, Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher. [Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basel Textbooks], Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009. doi:10.1007/978-3-7643-9982-5
[8] E. N. Dancer, *Superlinear problems on domains with holes of asymptotic shape and exterior problems*, Math. Z. **229** (1998), 475–491. doi:10.1007/PL00004666
[9] E. N. Dancer, D. Daners, and D. Hauer, *Uniform convergence of solutions to elliptic equations on domains with shrinking holes*, Preprint, 2014.
[10] D. Daners, *Robin boundary value problems on arbitrary domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), 4207–4236. doi:10.1090/S0002-9947-00-02444-2
[11] D. Daners and P. Drábek, *A priori estimates for a class of quasi-linear elliptic equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **361** (2009), 6475–6500. doi:10.1090/S0002-9947-09-04839-9
[12] M. Fraas and Y. Pinchover, *Positive Liouville theorems and asymptotic behavior for p-Laplacian type elliptic equations with a Fuchsian potential*, Confluentes Math. **3** (2011), 291–323. doi:10.1142/S1793744211000321
[13] ———, *Isolated singularities of positive solutions of p-Laplacian type equations in* $\mathbb{R}^d$, J. Differential Equations **254** (2013), 1097–1119. doi:10.1016/j.jde.2012.10.006
[14] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001, Reprint of the 1998 edition.
[15] J. Heinonen, T. Kilpeläinen, and O. Martio, *Nonlinear potential theory of degenerate elliptic equations*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993, Oxford Science Publications.
[16] S. Kichenassamy and L. Véron, *Singular solutions of the p-Laplace equation*, Math. Ann. **275** (1986), 599–615. doi:10.1007/BF01459140
[17] M. A. Krasnosel'skii, *Topological methods in the theory of nonlinear integral equations*, Translated by A. H. Armstrong; translation edited by J. Burlak. A Pergamon Press Book, The Macmillan Co., New York, 1964.
[18] P. Poláčik, P. Quittner, and P. Souplet, *Singularity and decay estimates in superlinear problems via Liouville-type theorems. I. Elliptic equations and systems*, Duke Math. J. **139** (2007), 555–579. doi:10.1215/S0012-7094-07-13935-8
[19] M. H. Protter and H. F. Weinberger, *Maximum principles in differential equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984, Corrected reprint of the 1967 original. doi:10.1007/978-1-4612-5282-5
[20] J. Serrin, *Local behavior of solutions of quasi-linear equations*, Acta Math. **111** (1964), 247–302.
[21] ———, *Singularities of solutions of nonlinear equations*, Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math., Vol. XVII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1965, pp. 68–88.
[22] J. Serrin and H. Zou, *Cauchy-Liouville and universal boundedness theorems for quasilinear elliptic equations and inequalities*, Acta Math. **189** (2002), 79–142. doi:10.1007/BF02392645
[23] M. M. a. Vainberg, *Variational methods for the study of nonlinear operators*, Holden-Day, Inc., San Francisco, Calif.-London-Amsterdam, 1964.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY, NSW 2006, AUSTRALIA
E-mail address, E. N. Dancer: norman.dancer@sydney.edu.au
E-mail address, Daniel Daners: daniel.daners@sydney.edu.au
E-mail address, Daniel Hauer: daniel.hauer@sydney.edu.au